



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,218	12/19/2000	Yutaka Kai	1460.1014	3091

21171 7590 09/10/2003

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TUAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2828

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/739,218

Applicant(s)

KAI ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan M Nguyen

Art Unit

2828

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.


- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.


PAUL IP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chung et al (6,349,103).

With respect to claims 1 and 14-18, Chung et al discloses a light source having a plurality of laser (DFB1, DFB2, DFB3 DFBN), an etalon filter (50) having a periodic transmittance wavelength characteristic for receiving laser light output from said light source, light detecting means (60, 70) for receiving laser light output from said etalon filter and detecting light intensity of the received laser light, controlling means (100) for generating oscillation of any one of said plurality of lasers at a desired wavelength, and for controlling an oscillation wavelength of the laser so that an output value of said light detecting means become equal to a target value corresponding to said desired wavelength among a plurality of target values respectively set for each of said plurality of wavelengths, note col. 1 line 30 to col. 8 line 18, see fig. 2.

With respect to claims 2-3, Chung et al discloses laser light output from said light source has nearly constant wavelength spacing, a length of a period of said etalon filter is substantially equal to a length of said wavelength spacing and each of plurality of target value is set at a value between two adjacent extremums of transmittance wavelength characteristic and target value

Art Unit: 2828

corresponding to a center wavelength of plurality of wavelength is set at a value at approximately the center of two adjacent extremums of transmittance wavelength, note col. 4 line 10 to col. 7 line 65, see figs 1-7.

With respect to claims 4-5, Chung et al discloses controlling means controls oscillation wavelength after generating oscillation of one laser at a wavelength which is in a range include a wavelength approximately at the center of two adjacent extremums of transmittance wavelength and closet to desired wavelength, note col. 1 line 30 to col. 6 line 67, see figs. 1-7.

With respect to claims 6-13, Chung et al discloses amplifying means (80), for amplifying laser light, controlling means (100) controls oscillation wavelength, and controlling driving current, detecting means (60, 70) corresponding with the plurality of etalon filter (50), and lasers (DFB1-DFBN), note col. 1 line 30 to col. 8 line 67, see figs 2 and 7.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on May 29 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 5 at the last paragraphs starting at "More specifically ... Thus the present invention does not lock the wavelength to the point where the change is 0 by the using a first derivative signal like Chung" and on page 6 at the third and fourth paragraphs, starting at "This is done... Chung does not perform this type of control, and this type of control is unable to be realized in light of the configuration (see Fig. 2) of Chung". Applicant argues is in error, because it is not in the claims. Applicant's attention is directed to the argument that the

Art Unit: 2828

wavelengths on sloped part of the etalon filter for controlling the laser diode is not supported by the claims. Therefore Applicant's argument is not persuasive. Claims 1-18 are not patentable over Chung et al.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Communication Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan M Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0247. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/739,218

Page 5

Art Unit: 2828

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.



Paul Ip
SPE
Art unit 2828

TMN
September 8, 2003